

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. ACER INC and ACER AMERICA CORPORATION	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-200
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. BROTHER INDUSTRIES LTD and BROTHER INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-201
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. CANON, INC. and CANON U.S.A., INC	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-202
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. DANE ELEC CORP. USA and DANE ELEC MEMORY	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-203
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. DELL, INC.	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-204
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. FALCON NORTHWEST COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-205

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. FUJITSU LIMITED and FUJITSU AMERICA, INC.	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-206
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. HITI DIGITAL, INC. and HITI DIGITAL AMERICA, INC.	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-207
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-208
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-210
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. LEXAR MEDIA, INC. and MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-211
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. MICRODIA LIMITED	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-212
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. NEWEGG INC and ROSEWILL INC.	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-213

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. SABRENT	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-214
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. SEIKO EPSON CORPORATION and EPSON AMERICA, INC.	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-216
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. SHUTTLE, INC. and SHUTTLE COMPUTER GROUP, INC.	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-217
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC v. SYSTEMAX, INC.	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-218

STATUS REPORT

Pursuant to this Court's April 11, 2012 Orders in the above-referenced cases, Plaintiff Technology Properties Limited LLC files this Status Report and states as follows:

1. On March 27, 2012, in Plaintiff filed a Complaint in the International Trade Commission against the above-listed Defendants and/or their affiliates.
2. On March 28, 2012, Plaintiff filed the above-referenced actions in this Court.
3. On May 11, 2012, *Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics USA, Inc.*, Case No. 6:12-cv-00215 was dismissed with prejudice.

4. On May 22, 2012, *Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Jasco Products Company, LLC*, Case No. 6:12-cv-00209, was dismissed with prejudice.

5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1659, the following cases have been stayed until the resolution of the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) proceeding, Investigation No. 337-841:

Case Caption	Case No.
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Acer Inc And Acer America Corporation	6:12-cv-200
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Brother Industries Ltd and Brother International Corporation	6:12-cv-201
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Canon, Inc. And Canon U.S.A., Inc.	6:12-cv-202
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Dell, Inc.	6:12-cv-204
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Fujitsu Limited And Fujitsu America, Inc.	6:12-cv-206
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. HiTi Digital, Inc. And HiTi Digital America, Inc.	6:12-cv-207
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company	6:12-cv-208
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Kingston Technology Co., Inc	6:12-cv-210
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Lexar Media, Inc. and Micron Technology, Inc.	6:12-cv-211
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Newegg Inc and Rosewill Inc.	6:12-cv-213
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Seiko Epson Corporation and Epson America, Inc.	6:12-cv-216
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Shuttle, Inc. and Shuttle Computer Group, Inc.	6:12-cv-217

Case Caption	Case No.
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Systemax, Inc.	6:12-cv-218

6. As of the date of this Status Report, the following cases have not been stayed pending the outcome of the ITC Investigation, but the ITC proceeding relevant to these Defendants, Investigation No. 337-841, is continuing. The status of each case is as follows:

Case Name	Case No.	Status
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Dane Elec Corp. USA and Dane Elec Memory	6:12-cv-203	<p>Waivers of Service have been sent to counsel Defendants. Counsel for both Defendants indicated he would return the waivers of service by 7/3/12; but the waivers have not yet been received.</p> <p>Additionally, Plaintiff consented to allow each defendant an extension of time to Answer the Complaint as follows:</p> <p>7/23/12 – Deadline for Dane Elec Corp. USA to Answer Complaint</p> <p>8/22/12 - Deadline for Dane Elec memory to Answer Complaint</p>
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Microdia Limited	6:12-cv-212	<p>Plaintiff mailed a Waiver of Service of Summons request to Defendant on May 2, 2012 and June 6, 2012; both were sent to the address on Defendant's website. Plaintiff obtained an additional address for Microdia Limited and sent an additional Waiver of Service of Summons to Microdia Limited on 7/6/12. The deadline for Microdia to return the Waiver of Service is 9/4/12. The deadline for Microdia Limited to Answer the Complaint is 10/4/12.¹</p>

¹ Because some of the Defendants are foreign entities, Plaintiff chose to send Waiver of Service of Summons as it would expedite the cases over formal service.

Case Name	Case No.	Status
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Sabrent	6:12-cv-214	Plaintiff mailed a Waiver of Service of Summons request to Defendant on May 2, 2012 to the address on Defendant's website. Plaintiff has obtained an alternate address for Sabrent and sent an additional Waiver of Service of Summons to Sabrent on 7/6/12. The deadline for Sabrent to return the Waiver of Service is 8/4/12. The deadline for Sabrent to Answer the Complaint is 9/4/12.
Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Falcon Northwest Computer Systems, Inc.	6:12-cv-205	Defendant has Answered the Complaint. 7/26/12 – Deadline for Plaintiff to file reply to counterclaims The parties in this case are considering whether it should be stayed pending the outcome of the ITC proceeding.

7. The parties in *Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Falcon Northwest Computer Systems, Inc.*, Case No. 6:12-cv-205, are considering whether this case should be stayed pending the outcome of the ITC proceeding.

8. These cases are not ready for a scheduling conference because the deadline for Defendants in *Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Dane Elec Corp. USA and Dane Elec Memory*, Case No. 6:12-cv-203, to Answer the Complaint has not yet passed, and Defendants in *Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Sabrent*, Case No. 6:12-cv-214, and *Technology Properties Limited LLC v. Microdia Limited*, Case No. 6:12-cv-212, have not been served.

DATED: July 10, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

THE SIMON LAW FIRM, P.C.

/s/ Anthony G. Simon

Anthony G. Simon

Benjamin R. Askew

Michael P. Kella

Stephanie H. To

800 Market Street, Suite 1700

Saint Louis, Missouri 63101

P.314.241.2929

F.314.241.2029

asimon@simonlawpc.com

baskew@simonlawpc.com

mkella@simonlawpc.com

sto@simonlawpc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served upon all counsel of record this
10th day of July, 2012 via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Anthony G. Simon

Anthony G. Simon